

to me the explanation was on the original bill itself and I really saw no objection to that but the committee amendment broadens the bill considerably in terms of the types of people that are affected and what started as a bill for owner-operator trucks suddenly becomes a bill that affects agriculture state wide across the state. It seems to me and I guess I am rising more on a question of principle that this bill was broadened that the people who it affects, the farm interests, in no way had an opportunity to comment on the amendment at the hearing and I think that the Banking Committee, Banking, Commerce and Insurance Committee should provide, whether it is 16%, 13%, 18%, 24% or whatever rate on this farm equipment that they want to establish, they provide an opportunity not just for the implement dealers to get their point across but the implement purchasers and that is why I simply offer this motion to send it back to committee. If the bill had stayed in its original form and dealt with this issue of the owner-operator trucks I wouldn't be offering this amendment but the financial impact I am sure of this amendment, no matter what the interest rate is, is considerable and I think that the Banking Committee should have a hearing on this issue although certainly I have always liked to cooperate and work with Senator DeCamp, on this issue at this time as the bill is, I don't think I can. So I would move that it be returned to the Banking Committee so that this amendment may have an opportunity for a hearing.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The Chair recognizes Senator DeCamp and then Senator Lamb and then Senator Kahle. Senator DeCamp. We have about four minutes left.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I think Senator Burrows, I think Senator Fowler, I think others who are going to talk have raised legitimate questions. The bill with the amendments would be much broader. I told the groups that were interested in this that they should contact members. Apparently there are some reservations still. I would suggest rejection of the committee amendments and leaving the bill in its original form to resolve the problem. So with that understanding, I would ask unanimous consent to withdraw my amendment to the amendment first of all and then I would urge rejection of the committee amendments. I cannot ask unanimous consent to withdraw them because they are committee amendments but I would urge rejection. The bill in its original form is an important bill. It does involve an