

about. We've discussed it. It's obvious that...I agree with Senator Kremer, if there is an application that applies to this type of vehicle that we should do it so it would be uniform throughout the State of Nebraska. Those of us who, if we observe anything at all should recognize what we're talking about here. We're talking about a floater vehicle which has large tires on it, which is used to apply fertilizer in the fields, and if you've ever watched the spring work out here, you're going to see these kinds of vehicles at work, when you drive down the highway, and for us to walk away from it now I think is really rather assinine. Let's treat it... it's applicable and germane to this piece of legislation. To you, Senator DeCamp, if you're somewhat miffed because that very attractive lobbyist is not present, I don't think we should unanimously withdraw an amendment. There is a need for this amendment. This is the proper place to treat it. So I object to withdrawing it. I think we should treat it, and put it on 23.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Koch, the Chair was in error to this extent that an introducer of an amendment has the right to withdraw it. Now that is what Senator DeCamp is doing.

SENATOR KOCH: Well I would hope that Senator DeCamp would reconsider. In that case, Mr. Speaker, I will offer the amendment.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President, we've got germaneness fights coming up on this from Senator Newell. We've got threats that they'll stall it all the way on the moped bill. I pointed out when I introduced the amendment that if there was solid support and if you intended to support the bill with the amendment, and would not jeopardize the moped bill, I'd be happy to do it. I pointed out everything in advance. Obviously, there is one heck of a lot of opposition to this being on the moped bill, and I am not going to jeopardize that bill two years in a row over this. I think this is a legitimate issue...doggone legitimate, but I'm not going to jeopardize the bill. Senator Beutler has raised several new and interesting questions which he will I'm sure bring up if we keep going, and I can see the whole day being spent on whether we are or are not going to attach this amendment on the moped bill. I don't think the pieces are here to do it, and to hold the bill together all the way. It's that simple. If I did, I'd go ahead. Maybe I'm wrong.