

March 6, 1979

LB 359

up such small funds to so many different groups, and I think to be effective, the Legislature definitely needs to increase the appropriations for water development irrespective of which fund that may involve and I am not sure what my position is here...

SPEAKER MARVEL: Time is up.

SENATOR CULLAN: ...but I hope that we would increase those funds.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Dworak, do you wish to be recognized?

SENATOR DWORAK: Mr. President, colleagues, I think there is some confusion exists on what the Governor recommended. I didn't interpret his recommendation as abolishing the conservation fund. I interpret his recommendation to say that here is a block of money, a sum of money, you divide it however you think between the conservation fund and the development fund. I could be mistaken on that but we looked at this in the Appropriations Committee and it appeared to me that what he was saying is that he really didn't know how much of this money should go to development or how much should go to conservation, so you make that decision. In my opinion, I think the concept of the two funds is a good one. I think the development fund with larger projects, with projects of maybe broader scope than the conservation fund with smaller projects, with projects more identified with the local land owner, projects that would probably just be unique to a local landowner as opposed to one that may take several landowners, I think the idea of the two funds is a good one. I kind of agree with Senator Schmit that there probably hasn't been enough money put into the conservation fund in the past. I would think that maybe if more money is available, and of course money is always scarce, that might be one of the priorities that we might consider but I would think the abolishment of the conservation fund and that concept is not sound. I think it is a good concept and one that we ought to perpetuate and maintain in the state.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Sieck.

SENATOR SIECK: Yes, I am real disappointed to hear Senator Schmit be opposed to this knowing that he is a farmer concerned about the real estate that is going down the Mississippi River at the rate of about fifteen acres, fifteen ton per acre, I will get this straight afterwhille. This is a lot of land that is leaving our state and I feel this