

animal strike the word "game", because as it is now they can stop those people and they can say that they're out hunting a nongame animal, and this takes that away. And Senator Vickers, I would suggest to you that this only applies during nine days in November during rifle deer season.

SENATOR VICKERS: Thank you Senator Reutzel. Mr. President and members of the body, I rise in opposition to this amendment. I noticed that there were no proponents, or no opponents, excuse me, at the testimony on this bill, but I would remind the members of this body that if that word "wild" had been in there then there would have been some opponents. What we're saying if these amendments go through very simply is that if I have a deer permit to hunt a deer on my place, and my son happens to be with me with another rifle, I'm not sure that he could hunt a deer, or shoot a coyote if we happen to see one, maybe not on the place that we reside, but if we have land that is separated from that place that we reside. Now, isn't that correct, Senator Reutzel?

SENATOR REUTZEL: Senator Vickers, as it is now, you do not need a deer permit to hunt on your own land, so in that instance, no. This provision is only to harmonize with the existing statutes.

SENATOR VICKERS: I do not need a deer permit to hunt on my own property?

SENATOR REUTZEL: No, sir.

SENATOR VICKERS: But this wild would also include coyotes, isn't that correct, Senator Reutzel?

SENATOR REUTZEL: It could.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Vickers, you have one minute. Did you ask Senator Reutzel a question?

SENATOR VICKERS: Yes, I did.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Okay.

SENATOR REUTZEL: It could include coyote, but again not on your own property, or the property that you farm.

SENATOR VICKERS: Well, if I read the amendment, it says that property that you reside on, and it seems to me that we have a lot of rural people in this state that don't reside on all of the property that they control, either farm, or lease, or