

the intent of this Legislature or those who are working on this bill and that is what I want to continue to emphasize. How in the world could anybody with legal training say that you want to come up with a bill which is reasonably constitutional. Why such a concept is unknown to Anglo-Saxon jurisprudence. Reasonably constitutional, either it is constitutional or it is not. No court has ever said this bill is reasonably constitutional. I don't even understand sometimes what Senator DeCamp is talking about and I don't think Senator DeCamp does either, and because he often doesn't understand what he is talking about, that is why he can be excused for what he says during those times. Whenever you do something that you don't understand, then you are not totally responsible. Senator DeCamp, what did you mean by reasonably constitutional? Did you mean that certain provisions still may not pass constitutional muster or just what because I am lost on that particular term? Yes, that particular phrase, what did that have reference to?

SENATOR DeCAMP: Senator Chambers, reasonably constitution in my opinion means it is an issue where the courts have leeway, where arguments from either side are sound and where it is up to the court to make a final decision. At least it is defensible by both sides.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So you mean there might be questions really? That's what you are (interruption).

SENATOR DeCAMP: No doubt on so many things that you are moving into areas, touchy areas, that you know the courts are going to rule on at some time or another. They could go one way or another, like on the informed consent. People were saying, well, you can't have that in abortion statutes. We won that. We proved you could. They said you couldn't have half a dozen other concepts. We have shown you can. Some we said you could, you can't.

SPEAKER MARVEL: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator DeCamp, I feel much better now and much more comfortable now that you have elucidated what you meant, but in the same way that he says that certain matters have not been declared unconstitutional in Judge Urbom's decision, there are provisions that have not really been upheld either. There has been no final determination of any of these issues. But I think what you ought to keep in mind is what these amendments are doing. This one simply says that when an issue of the welfare of the mother must... when that issue must be addressed, the decision has to be in