

February 26, 1979

LB 316

and not deal with the bill in the Landis bill. Thank you.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Murphy.

SENATOR MURPHY: Ladies and gentlemen, I think we have developed the very fault that is referred to in the DeCamp amendment. So far we have discussed people having been thrown out of apartment buildings, the Johnny Carson problem. We have gone so far afield from abortion that it is unfortunate. Now I for one will withdraw my support for the abortion issue if we continue to insist on wedging into this bill a subject matter which we have in another bill that can be duly considered by this body as it comes from the Judiciary Committee. That is a bill that needs complete and separate discussion and there is no justification whatever for inserting it into an issue that is as delicate and sensitive as the abortion issue. I urge your support of the Nichol amendment.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Venditte, do you wish to be recognized on the Nichol amendment.

SENATOR VENDITTE: The previous question, Mr. Chairman.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The question before the House is shall we cease debate? Do I see five hands? Okay. All those in favor of ceasing debate vote aye, opposed no. The motion is ceasing debate on the Nichol amendment to LB 316. Record.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 12 nays to cease debate, Mr. President.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The motion carries and debate is ceased and the Chair recognizes Senator Nichol for purpose of closing on your amendment to LB 316.

SENATOR NICHOL: Mr. Chairman, I want to state I am not opposed to LB 316. I am for it. It is not my purpose to harpoon the bill at all. In fact I want to make it better. This portion of general right of privacy was not discussed in the hearing, not discussed by the public, was never brought up until the bill was on this floor. Now Senator Beutler has read my amendment as it will read with my amendment on it and listen to this. Is this so bad? "The Legislature hereby establishes a right of privacy in the State of Nebraska for a cause of action against persons making unauthorized disclosure in violation of this act." If there were a right of privacy act, then this is sufficient. Since there is no right of privacy act at all,