

SENATOR DeCAMP: No, what's the next one?

SPEAKER MARVEL: The motion before the House is your motion.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Is the next one an amendment, or what?

SPEAKER MARVEL: The only thing I can say is yes.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Okay. Well, then maybe this is a good chance to illustrate a point.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Do you want...

SENATOR DeCAMP: Yes, I'll...

SPEAKER MARVEL: Are you...

SENATOR DeCAMP: Yes, I'll take mine up, what the hell.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Are you moving that your motion be adopted?

SENATOR DeCAMP: I'm moving...yes.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Okay. The Chair recognizes Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DeCAMP: We'll have a little analysis of the rules and the system we work under. At the present time motions to suspend the rules do not take priority over amendments unless they're sitting up there way in advance. So, if somebody determines, as Senator Chambers correctly, properly, eloquently stated, if somebody determines through just offering amendments, four hundred of them to delay this till next week, they can do her. The only way around that is if you finally get your motion to suspend the rules up, and then have thirty votes. Now, when I heard Senator Chambers say, if I lose this one I've got another motion ready...I kind of slipped on up there and put my motion to suspend the rules, so we could resolve the issue here this morning without doing it next week, but as I say I think it illustrates a point that you should all be aware of, and that is under our present system, the only way around the filibuster is if you can finally ever, ever get to that motion to suspend the rules and then have thirty votes. Now I have a rule change proposed which Senator Fowler hopefully will put out one of these days which says this would be a priority motion...just change its position, so we did have those thirty votes and people were tired of the filibuster, they could bring her to a head. I think this issue's been debated quite a bit on General, then Select and finally now you've had the attempt