PREPARED BY: DATE PREPARED: PHONE: John Wiemer August 02, 2024 402-471-0051

**LB 73** 

Revision: 01

## **FISCAL NOTE**

**LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ANALYST ESTIMATE** 

Revised per response from Dept. of Revenue

ESTIMATE OF FISCAL IMPACT – STATE AGENCIES (See narrative for political subdivision estimates)						
	FY 202	24-25	FY 2025-26			
	EXPENDITURES	REVENUE	EXPENDITURES	REVENUE		
GENERAL FUNDS			\$2,200,000			
CASH FUNDS						
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER FUNDS						
TOTAL FUNDS			\$2,200,000			

Any Fiscal Notes received from state agencies and political subdivisions are attached following the Legislative Fiscal Analyst Estimate.

This fiscal note has been updated to reflect estimates from the Department of Revenue (DOR).

LB 73 would make changes to the Homestead Exemption Program.

The bill would make changes to section 77-3507 so that for exemption applications filed in calendar year 2025 and after the income eligibility amounts would be adjusted by the percentage change in the House Price Index published by the Federal Housing Finance Agency from the 12 months ending on August 31 of the year preceding the applicable calendar year.

The income eligibility amounts would be adjusted for cumulative change in the House Price Index published by the Federal Housing Finance Agency since 2014. If any amount is not a multiple of \$100, the amount would be rounded to the next lower multiple of \$100.

The DOR estimates the following increase to General Fund expenditures as a result of this bill.

• FY25: \$0

FY26: \$2,200,000FY27: \$2,400,000

The DOR estimates minimal costs to it as a result of the bill.

There is no basis to disagree with these estimates by the DOR.

The Douglas County Assessor/Register of Deeds Office estimates additional personnel as a result of the bill.

## LB<sup>(1)</sup> 73—Special Session **FISCAL NOTE** Douglas County Assessor/Register of Deeds Office State Agency OR Political Subdivision Name: (2) Date Prepared: (4) 7/31/2024 Phone: (5) 402 444-6703 Prepared by: (3) Michael Goodwillie ESTIMATE PROVIDED BY STATE AGENCY OR POLITICAL SUBDIVISION F<u>Y 2024-25</u> FY 2025-26 **EXPENDITURES EXPENDITURES REVENUE REVENUE GENERAL FUNDS** \$23,852.30 \$23,275.20 CASH FUNDS FEDERAL FUNDS **OTHER FUNDS** TOTAL FUNDS \$23,275.20 \$23,852.30

## **Explanation of Estimate:**

LB 73 would, beginning in 2025, replace the CPI with the HPI as the adjustment mechanism for the income eligibility tables for the homestead exemption program. Homestead eligibility has an income test and, as income increases, the percentage exemption declines in increments of ten percent. As an example, the household income for a homestead applicant in the over-65 category who is married to be 100% exempt, based on income, is \$41,000.99. That same applicant would be ineligible, based on income, when household income exceeds \$60,901. The amounts vary depending on marital status (married households have higher income limits than singe) or whether someone is a disabled veteran or disabled person (those income amounts are higher in both the married and single categories). Every year, the income tables are adjusted by the Nebraska Department of Revenue based on the Consumer Price Index. LB 73 would replace the CPI with the Housing Price Index (HPI) as the measure by which the income tables are adjusted.

In looking at the practical implications of the bill, in recent years, the HPI has moved faster than the CPI. For example, from March of 2023 to March of 2024, the CPI increased by 3.5% and the HPI by 6.2%. So using the HPI would increase the income amounts by more than the CPI would, as long as that index is increasing faster than the CPI. That has been the trend in recent years, although there have been times in the past where that was not true.

It is hard to estimate the impact of the bill. For one thing, the Department of Revenue does the income review of homestead exemptions. We do know that for 2023, 712 homestead applications were denied by the Department of Revenue, we think based on income. So, if the income eligibility limits were to increase, some portion of those 712 denied applications might qualify. What is hard to estimate is the number of potential applicants who took a look at the income tables, saw that their income was too high to qualify for even a partial exemption, and chose not to apply at all. It is even harder to estimate how many would qualify under a new income limit that might be two or three percentage points higher than if using the CPI. The sense is that there would be more applicants, more value exempted, and additional tax loss. But determining how many would fit under newer, slightly higher, income eligibility amounts with any kind of accuracy would require more detailed demographic and income information than our office has.

Our office thinks there would be more applicants with higher income limits but because there is still a top end cap on eligibility, not a huge number more—something similar to the number denied based on income of 700. That would require about half the time of a new staff person—currently we process close to 15,000 applications with nine full-time staffers.

For estimate's sake, with regard to the tax loss, assume that the 712 that were denied based on income for 2023 were reasonably close to being at least partial qualifiers. Using the average value of a single-family home in Douglas County, that we might expect to be near \$272,000 and expecting those who were denied and

might now qualify to be partial qualifiers with a slightly higher income amount. Some of those 712 still might not qualify, but there might be others who weren't applying who now are. But using 700 applicants as 20% qualifiers and an average value of \$272,000 represents \$29,680,000 in newly exempt value. Multiplied by a tax rate of 2.245%, that would provide a "tax loss" to political subdivisions of \$666,316 in Douglas County.

BREAK	DOWN BY MAJ	OR OBJECTS O	F EXPENDITURE	
Personal Services:				
DOGAMANA MAMA		POSITIONS	2024-25	2025-26
POSITION TITLE	<u>24-25</u>	<u>25-26</u>	<b>EXPENDITURES</b>	<b>EXPENDITURES</b>
Assessor Support Tech	1/2	1/2	\$23,275.20	\$23,852.30
Benefits	•••			
Operating				
Travel				
Capital outlay				
Aid				
Capital improvements				
TOTAL	••••		\$23,275.20	\$23,852.30

<b>LB</b> <sup>(1)</sup> 73			FISCAL NOTE				
State Agency OR Political Subdivision Name: (2)	Lancaster County Assessor/Register of Deeds						
Prepared by: (3) Dan Nolte	Date Prepared: (4)	70/30/24 Phone:	(5) 402-441-7463				
ESTIMATE PROV	<u>'IDED BY STATE AGEN</u>	NCY OR POLITICAL SUBDI	VISION				
<u>F Y</u> <u>EXPENDITURE</u>	<u>7 2024-25</u> <u>S REVENUE</u>	EXPENDITURES	<u>025-26</u> <u>REVENUE</u>				
GENERAL FUNDS							
CASH FUNDS	_						
	_	<del>-</del>					
FEDERAL FUNDS	_						
OTHER FUNDS	_						
TOTAL FUNDS	=						
This legislation does not appear to have	e a fiscal impact on this						
Personal Services:	WN DI MAJOR OBJECT	IS OF EXPENDITURE					
POSITION TITLE	NUMBER OF POSITION <u>24-25</u> <u>25-26</u>	S 2024-25 EXPENDITURES	2025-26 EXPENDITURES				
Benefits							
Operating							
Travel							
Capital outlay							
Aid							
Capital improvements							
TOTAL							

State Agency Estimate							
State Agency Name: Department of Revenue					Date Due LFO:		
Approved by: James R. Kamm		Date Prepared:	08/01/2024		Phone: 471-5896		
	FY 2024	<u>4-2025</u>	FY 2025-2026 FY 2026-2		-2027		
	<b>Expenditures</b>	Revenue	<b>Expenditures</b>	Revenue	Expenditures	Revenue	
General Funds	1		\$2,200,000		\$2,400,000		
Cash Funds							
Federal Funds							
Other Funds							
Total Funds			\$2,200,000		\$2,400,000		
•					_		

LB 73 amends Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-3507 so that following calendar year 2024, the homestead exemption maximum income levels for qualified claimants will be adjusted for inflation according to the percentage change in the House Price Index published by the Federal Housing Finance Agency instead of the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers.

Department of Revenue (DOR) used current homestead data and Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS) data from Census to run simulation on the homestead data and estimated that LB 73 will have the following impact on the General Fund expenditures:

Fiscal Year	General Fund expenditures			
FY2024-25	\$	-		
FY2025-26	\$	2,200,000		
FY2026-27	\$	2,400,000		

It is estimated that there will be minimal costs to the Department of Revenue to implement this bill.

The operative date for this bill is three months after Governor signature.

Major Objects of Expenditure							
Class Code	Classification Title	24-25 <u>FTE</u>	25-26 <u>FTE</u>	26-27 <u>FTE</u>	24-25 Expenditures	25-26 Expenditures	26-27 Expenditures
Operating Costs							
Travel							
	s						
Total					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

LB <sup>(1)</sup> 73			_ FISCAL NOTE				
State Agency OR Political Subdivision Name: (2)	Lancaster County (Budget & Fiscal)						
Prepared by: (3) Kevin Nelson	Date Prepared: (4)	7/30/2024 PI	none: (5) 402-441-7448				
ESTIMATE PROV	IDED BY STATE AGEN	NCY OR POLITICAL SU	UBDIVISION				
FV	2024-25	ī	TY 2025-26				
EXPENDITURES		<u>EXPENDITURE</u>					
GENERAL FUNDS			_				
CASH FUNDS							
FEDERAL FUNDS							
OTHER FUNDS							
TOTAL FUNDS		-					
Explanation of Estimate:			<u> </u>				
Explanation of Estimate.							
BREAKDOV	WN BY MAJOR OBJECT	S OF EXPENDITURE					
Personal Services:	THE OF POSTERON	0					
POSITION TITLE	NUMBER OF POSITION 24-25 25-26	S 2024-25 <u>EXPENDITURE</u>	2025-26 <u>EXPENDITURES</u>				
Benefits							
Operating			_				
Travel							
Capital outlay			_				
Aid			_				
Capital improvements			_				