

PREPARED BY: Doug Nichols
 DATE PREPARED: February 4, 2013
 PHONE: 402-471-0052

LB 212

Revision: 00

FISCAL NOTE
LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ANALYST ESTIMATE

ESTIMATE OF FISCAL IMPACT – STATE AGENCIES (See narrative for political subdivision estimates)				
	FY 2013-14		FY 2014-15	
	EXPENDITURES	REVENUE	EXPENDITURES	REVENUE
GENERAL FUNDS	10,000			
CASH FUNDS				
FEDERAL FUNDS				
OTHER FUNDS				
TOTAL FUNDS	10,000			

Any Fiscal Notes received from state agencies and political subdivisions are attached following the Legislative Fiscal Analyst Estimate.

This bill would change court-ordered parenting plan provisions of the Parenting Act.

The Supreme Court estimates a one-time General Fund cost of \$10,000 to reprint the required brochures, revise the curriculum, and conduct training for mediators. The Court also notes that they may need additional court staff and resources, but not in the next biennium. See the Supreme Court's response for additional details.

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES-STATE BUDGET DIVISION: REVIEW OF AGENCY & POLT. SUB. RESPONSES			
LB: LB 212	AM:	AGENCY/POLT. SUB: Supreme Court (005)	
REVIEWED BY: Joe Wilcox	DATE: February 4 th , 2013	PHONE: (402) 471-4178	
COMMENTS: The Supreme Court fiscal note on LB 212 is almost identical to the note on LB 22 and indicates potential one-time costs of \$10,000 in order to reprint required brochures concerning the Parenting Act and to revise the curriculum and to conduct training for Parenting Act mediators. This amount does not appear to be unreasonable, depending on the type and number of training sessions provided and the number of participants. The fiscal note also suggests there may be additional staffing costs beyond the 2013 – 2015 biennium, but makes no projections on such potential staffing costs.			

