

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 12, 2006 LR 449

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you, Mr. President, members. I want to talk about, and I'm going to refer back to the Mock report, about relating to the office. Mr. Mock went through a whole host of cases from other jurisdictions as well as our own to find out what that means. And you can follow along with me, I'm going to start on page 31 in a Florida case. Misdemeanor in office is something which amounts to a breach of the conditions tacitly annexed to the office, includes any wrongful official act or omission to perform an official duty. There is a case from, it looks like Montana. Misconduct is any act performed by virtue or authority of office. A Minnesota case, construing a statute permitting the removal of a school board commissioner if he be found inefficient or guilty of any acts inconsistent with the duties of office. The Minnesota Supreme Court noted that in such cases, this is very important, it is necessary to separate the character of the man from the character of the officer. Another case in Texas, impeachable offenses are designated as high crimes and misdemeanors which, in effect, means nothing more than grave official wrongs. I want to go on to page 35 of the Mock report. The office of Regent did not impose a duty upon Hergert to file a post-election campaign statement pursuant to Nebraska statute. The requirement of filing the campaign statement cannot be a duty of holding the office because even the losing candidate must file the post-election statement required by Section 49-1459(1)(c). Hergert filed the post-election campaign statement neither because he held the office of Regent nor pursuant to his authority as Regent. So when Senator Beutler says that this area of law is not clear, I respectfully disagree with him. I think it's crystal clear. In order to impeach this man, you must show that his conduct related to the office. Senator Beutler and Senator Chambers would have you believe that the campaign statement that he filed on January 11 is that. It does relate to the office, according to them. But again, if you read the Mock report carefully, if you read through those cases from all the other jurisdictions, Senator Combs, it does not apply to the office. It's clear. We can absolutely impeach Mr. Hergert. We can do that today. What I'm saying to you is that if we do that knowing, having a strong indication that it will not result in impeachment in the Supreme Court, my question to you is, why are we doing this? Why are we