

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 12, 2006 LR 449

report and that's how I made my decision, because Mr. Mock said it meets the burden of clear and convincing evidence with regard to the January 11, 2005, filing. That's why I changed my mind. Not only do we have the January 11, 2005, filing; we had our own attorney saying that...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time, Senator.

SENATOR FLOOD: ...you can meet that burden. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Flood. Senator Combs.

SENATOR COMBS: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. And I must say, I really do appreciate all the dialogue that has taken place. I've learned a lot and I can honestly say that my mind was not made up when I sat down. And as this has progressed over the hours, I have been able to make a decision based on what I've been hearing. Words have meaning. He's not looking up. Okay, it is his quote. Words have meaning. The word "impeach" means just to accuse, charge, or bring to court. So impeachment means the act of bringing someone to court. It does not indicate guilt or innocence as being determined by the act of the impeachment itself. It means that a decision has been made that further examination of the action is necessary. The crux of this decision for me as far as the letter of the law lies in when the act occurred that actually broke the law. At this point, we have attorneys in this body who cannot agree among themselves on this legal question. And as I've said before, I am not an attorney. I am a citizen representative who is charged with sorting out the facts as they relate to the need for a court decision to be made; the definition of impeach. We're being told on one hand that we are to accept today that there is no chance that the Supreme Court will say that he committed an impeachable offense based on their interpretation of the events. Then on the other hand, we have attorneys in the body who are opining that indeed what transpired prior to winning the election does count as an offense that needs to be brought to the court; again, the definition of impeach. This dilemma in the letter of the law has not been made clear or brought to a resolution yet for me in my mind. The spirit of the law goes without saying for me. In the documents that I've