

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 13, 2006 LB 786

announcements and those recalls are indeed frivolous. So this...that's what brought me to introduce this bill. Not...it's not at the direction of anyone else. And I did find out...Senator Brown had asked how many recalls have been undertaken in the last year. There's not a central clearing house for recalls, so we don't know how many petitions have been taken out. Many or most...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR MINES: ...are not...do not collect the number of signatures that they need, so they're not recorded, so we really don't know. That's it for now, Mr. President. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Mines. Further discussion. Senator Beutler. This will be your third time, Senator.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Schimek, Senator Mines, in looking at that court proceeding, let me just ask you to take a look at also as to whether the rules of evidence would apply in that proceeding, and whether...another, I think, important question--I don't want to get into these technical things, but I think it would be helpful to look at these things--another important question would be whether new evidence could be presented at this hearing. That is, you have before the court, apparently, this statement of...oh, once again I've forgotten what it's called. The 60-word statement is going to be in front of them, accusing the officeholder of certain types of things. That will be presented to the court if you have a hearing. But will they be allowed to add additional factual material, new testimony, that sort of thing? Or is it a hearing on the wording of the affidavit or petition itself on the face of the matter? I think that's an important question. The...members of the Legislature, this is an interesting process this morning, because I'm certainly learning some new things. Let me tell you what I've now learned about cities of the primary class, Lincoln. There happens to be a statute, 15-332, which says that the power to remove from office the mayor or any councilman or other officer for good and sufficient cause, for good and sufficient cause, is hereby conferred upon the district court.