

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 9, 2006

LB 57

to a higher standard because they are officers of the court and expected to behave a little better in many areas. What is a more sacred area? What higher area of responsibility is there than being the mother of a child? I mean, if anybody should have an extra duty, maybe it's the mother of the child. That's the way we would normally treat things in the law. So one could logically ask the question of why the mother of the child is exempted at all, period. However, I've taken a moderate approach with respect to the amendment by simply advocating to you that the mother of the child can be excepted, except the illegal taking of controlled substance with knowledge of the pregnancy. In other words, okay, we exempt the mother, but in this one area, which is a huge problem area in our society, we are going to take the stand that the mother, above all others, needs to be responsible, or at least the mother on an equal level with all others, which is what we're really saying with this amendment, ought to be responsible. And so that's what the amendment does. It makes it...it brings the mother of the child in under the law in the case of the taking of illegal drugs. I'm interested in this amendment for a number of reasons, but certainly I think we are all interested in overcoming the meth problem in our society. The meth problem has horrible consequences for children born and unborn. Crack babies have long been known to be a major, major problem in our society. Both of these problems derive from a pregnant woman taking certain kinds of drugs during the pregnancy. This amendment, unfortunately, would not cover alcohol because alcohol is not an illegal substance, but it probably should, shouldn't it? You know, it was interesting earlier, before the session began, I was reading the first pediatrics book ever written in the United States, 1820s, and I used to think we didn't know how bad alcohol was for pregnant women, but that book was filled with admonitions to women about using alcohol during pregnancy, or giving alcohol to kids after they're born. Sometimes I wonder why it takes us so long to learn. Senator Foley is interested in protecting the unborn child, but let me ask you a very practical question. How many prosecutions would there be under this law without my amendment? I suggest to you there would be very few, because the difficulty of prosecution would be major, major factor. But if you adopt this amendment there will be prosecutions in some cases. I would predict that 95 to