

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 16, 2006 LB 129, 562, 690, 745, 845, 856, 907, 939
959, 965, 995, 1034, 1105, 1107, 1113, 1115
1126, 1141, 1156, 1158, 1175, 1208, 1248
LR 291

Senator Cudaback; LB 959, Senator Janssen; Senator Janssen, LB 562 is a General Affairs Committee priority; Urban Affairs has selected LB 1175 and LB 907; Senator Smith, LB 995; Senator Jim Jensen, LB 1248 as one of the Health and Human Services Committee priority; LB 965 is by Senator Jensen, personal priority; Education Committee, LB 129 as its committee priority; LB 1208, Senator Raikes; LB 745, Senator Stuthman; Senator Engel, as Chair of the Exec Board, LB 939 and LB 1141; Senator Schrock, LB 1156; Judiciary Committee, LB 1115 and LB 1113; Senator Thompson, LB 1107; Senator Brown, LB 1105. New resolution, LR 291, by Senator Erdman, will be laid over. Amendments to be printed: Amendment to LB 690 by Senator Stuhr; Senator Kruse to LB 845. Your Committee on Business and Labor reports LB 1126 to General File with amendments, and LB 1158 indefinitely postponed. Urban Affairs reports LB 1175 to General File with amendments, and Ag Committee reports LB 1034 indefinitely postponed. That's all that I have, Madam President. (Legislative Journal pages 692-700.)

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Mr. Clerk. We now move back to discussion of FA449. Is there discussion on FA449? Senator Chambers, your light is the next light. You're recognized to speak.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, how many times have I spoken on this form of the amendment?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Two. This is your third time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, members of the Legislature, I'm not going to offer any more amendments to Senator Kremer's bill. I would not have offered as many as I had, except that some correct observations were made by Senators Louden and Hudkins, relative to how the amendment ought to be drafted, if it had a possibility of being adopted. And I wanted to comply with what they had suggested, because I agree with what they said. However, whether the amendment would be adopted or not, the principle that I wanted to highlight and underline remains unchanged. There are definitions in the statutes which ought not to be there. This continual insertion of the term "unborn child" is a plague, as far as I'm concerned. People can get as