

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 31, 2006 LB 14

SENATOR FRIEND: 2006.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: 2006...what was last year?

SENATOR FRIEND: Look, I'm still signing checks with 2005 on it, so if there's a...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, let me ask you a question. Sometimes when I address bills that nobody has any interest in, it seems as though I'm just wasting time. You voted to advance some Revisor bills this session, and you voted in favor of the final passage of those Revisor bills, didn't you? Didn't you?

SENATOR FRIEND: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: One of them I voted against, because it changed some dates. The dates had already been passed, so they were stricken, but I voted against those date changes because I'm a sentimentalist on occasion, and as I pointed out on General File, a song that I like, I think the title is, "It Was a Very Good Year," and some people were 17 during those years that were being stricken from the statute, and my sentimentality would not allow me to vote to do that. Well, if we voted in a bill to strike that language because the years had already passed, why did your committee, why do you--I won't ask you to speak for Senator Landis--want to retain a subsection which expired in June of last year?

SENATOR FRIEND: Well, I'm not sure that we would want to retain it. But that isn't...that was not of the utmost concern to me, because I wasn't...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR FRIEND: ...I...because I wasn't sure that we needed to change it. This was not new language, Senator. So I guess I assumed that there...and I probably shouldn't have assumed, but I guess I assumed that there was a pertinent reason for having that date in there. And I could certainly check with you, Senator Landis, and others to try to figure out if that's