

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 20, 2006 LB 72

"officials." Whereas a police patrolman, a police sergeant, major, or whatever, is an officer, they're not usually thought of as officials. The officials usually are those who are at the administrative level, as distinguished from those who work in the field. So in order that there be no confusion, I want to make it clear we're talking about law enforcement personnel and their uniforms. Many law enforcement officials don't wear uniforms at all. This is another of those amendments which I think should not lead to much in the way of controversy, but if it's not accepted it in no way will turn me against the bill. So at this point, with these types of amendments, I'm not in that mode where I say, my way or no way. We do need regulation, and I believe the more we discuss this bill the more people will come to realize that it is a very serious thing that we're doing. Right now, as Senator Stuhr pointed out, there are no regulations, there is no state law governing this activity. When we put laws in place, we should make sure that we do not freeze into the law practices now which are unacceptable. I want as much precision as possible whenever we're creating new opportunities for people to go about armed. Another area of the bill that I have concern about is people who are security guards carrying concealed weapons, so I'd like to ask Senator Stuhr a question.

PRESIDENT SHEEHY: Senator Stuhr, would you yield?

SENATOR STUHR: Yes, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Stuhr, in your bill, it makes it clear that the security officer may be armed or unarmed, so that's clear. Some of them simply will not carry weapons, period.

SENATOR STUHR: That's correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But under the bill, some of these people are empowered to carry concealed pistols or firearms. Isn't that true?

SENATOR STUHR: Yes, it is.