

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 20, 2006 LB 72

SENATOR STUHR: I don't know at this time. I'm not going to be here after this session, so I probably wouldn't have an opportunity to even address it.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If you were here, would you support it?

SENATOR STUHR: (Laugh) I can't say. I really can't say.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. You believe in the death penalty, however. Is that true?

SENATOR STUHR: I do.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do you believe that an innocent person should be executed by the state, even if everybody in the state says, kill him, kill him, kill him?

SENATOR STUHR: No, I do not believe that an innocent person...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I didn't think so. I'm working up to something, slowly but surely. Do you think a person ought to be sentenced to die who has not even been accused of a crime?

SENATOR STUHR: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Stuhr, under what circumstances do you think the Legislature ought to require that somebody die, other than by way of the death penalty when all other provisions of law have been complied with, regardless of my opposition to it? But taking the death penalty off the table, under what circumstances do you think the Legislature should require that somebody die?

SENATOR STUHR: Only if adequate evidence has been found to convict that person.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, we're not dealing with a crime. There is no crime involved, there is no accusation of a crime, there has been no trial, there has been no conviction, there...we don't even know who the person is. Should the state, through the