

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 12, 2006 LB 126, 454

So I guess the strategy that I'm seeing employed is one of uniqueness, but is not unique to this process. I'm concerned about some of the issues, but I think they can be resolved, I firmly do. But that doesn't seem to be the process that this Legislature has undertaken in recent years, to try to resolve these issues where there are strongly held opinions on either side. Now, we have seen in the past couple of years that issues such as even LB 126, there was a resolution. Not that everybody agreed and not that the problem is resolved, but some of the ideas were presented in a way that made sense and were agreeable. That has always been a part of this process since I've been here. I'm a young man. I've only been a resident of the state of Nebraska for 28 years, since I was born. But I have always seen this process to include people of different opinions and viewpoints. They may not be mine. And I guarantee you, there have been things done on this floor, as a member, that I vehemently opposed. But when I looked at the end of the day, I recognized that the process is about a majority, and that's the process that should govern today. Now we have this new idea that has been adopted in this body that 33 is the majority. And that's what it's going to take for Senator Combs to get a vote on her bill, is 33. Now that process is in place so that there's not a rule of majority, that there's not tyranny, okay, that a bunch of people can't get together and say, we're just going to jam something through. Senator Thompson says, jam this through. This has been ten years in the making. This bill has been in the Judiciary Committee for probably five times. We have a lengthy Judiciary Committee amendment before us. We've had discussions year after year. I remember when Senator Tyson sat in my seat, and everybody was in the lounge eating lunch, and there was a few of us on the floor discussing the bill, because everybody thought, well, it's not going anywhere. We've had discussion after discussion...

PRESIDENT SHEEHY: One minute.

SENATOR ERDMAN: ...after discussion, and the same studies and the same comments are presented. They're so predictable, in fact, that there are web sites out there that present Senator Schimek's viewpoint, and then there are web sites out there that present the actual answers to the questions that she was going