

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 17, 2005

LB 90, 90A

plant. Ethanol is not infusing anything of consequence into Nebraska's economy. And you cannot show me one child who agreed to stay in this state because of ethanol production. Thank you, Mr. President. I have my light on one more time, and that will be it.

SENATOR CUDABACK: You're recognized now, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Mr. President, I will ignore the harassment I'm getting back here in the culture corner, and stick to what I said about this one time being it. There are some people who feel that they must always defend ethanol when it comes under attack. I am on the other side. I feel that I have to attack ethanol any time the issue comes before us in the way this one has. Prior to the offering by Senator Bourne of his amendment, I kept skid chains on my tongue, and I was not talking against ethanol. But the door was opened for the discussion. Since his amendment has been withdrawn, I'm not going to do anything to harm the bill or delay it, other than my speaking these two times. I'm going to offer an amendment on LB 90A, the appropriation bill. That is not the bill that is taking care of all this largess for the ethanol purveyors and investors that have been the subject of the underlying LB 90. And I will discuss my amendment when the time comes. And I will distinguish between what I'm offering in that amendment and what Senator Bourne was offering in his amendment. I gave you a handout with a picture of myself in a shirt with a target on it. That is not the subject of the article. The article points out the work that I've done down through the years to try to assist people in the rural areas. That's what most of that article is about. And I will go into more detail when that amendment comes before us. But I have to take this last shot at ethanol, because I will not touch ethanol when we get to LB 90, other than insofar as it relates to me arguing for the amendment that I'm offering. I have yet to hear anybody stand on this floor and explain how the production of ethanol at the maximum is going to reduce significantly America's reliance on foreign oil. It is not going to do it. It cannot do it. If you create vehicles that can run on 85 percent ethanol, that means you will service even fewer than you serve now, because you're not blending 10 percent with petroleum, with gasoline, and spreading