

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 11, 2005

LB 425

you are correct in your reading of subsection (d), sub (1) (sic) of the statute, but there is a conflict there as well. Look, the reality is, is that we're not willing to do this. I'm going to make that assumption. Okay? Six million dollars that we don't want to do for property tax relief to the smallest counties in the state. That's fine. We've made that policy decision. It's contrary to the policy decision that we made this afternoon with Senator Stuthman's, which bill also says, that was supported by 38 members of the Legislature, that we will fund up to \$3.9 million. As I would read that, \$3.5 million is somewhere up to that. It doesn't say that we have to fully fund it. It does not. So the amendment before you is consistent with the attempt that was made this afternoon, and I thank Senator Stuthman for his eloquent and fantastic comments on my amendment that I'm sure has solidified such support that I will be able to count on, but the reality is we have set a public policy on how we're going to govern and it's based on statutes, and it's based on funding those statutes accordingly. Now, if we had an individual violate another state law and say, well, you know what, we didn't really feel like we wanted to deal with that, we wouldn't let them get away with it, but we're going to do it here and we're going to do it with full knowledge of what we're doing and we shouldn't shy away from it. When people say, why didn't you meet the obligations of 77-3618, you can say, well, we didn't have to. But actually we did. We just chose not to. That's the answer. That is the only answer. So I am in full support of this. I understand that it is something that is probably contrary to what some of you want to do. And if you'll remember, we have kicked the treasury open for job training for \$15 million, we did \$10 million to the tune of special ed...or, excuse me, \$6.5 million, and \$4 million for early childhood education. We can't do \$500,000 for Senator McDonald. But through this whole process there's been no consistency as far as what we will support and what we won't. I thought what Senator Stuthman offered today was based on some sound arguments--there's a policy that's in statute that we should fund. This is no different. It is 6 million bucks; \$2.9 million this year according to the Appropriations Committee's book, it's \$3.1 million for the next year. Laid out, page 42, you can read it for yourself. Simple request, it is \$6 million. Thank you, Mr. President.