

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 5, 2005

LB 57, 90, 421-425, 728
LR 99

they have to cut back. And do we want to cut back? No, I don't think we do. I did introduce a bill this year that did not make it. It was LB 728, and it was to try to provide a methodology of how we could reimburse providers for doing the service for, you know, developmental disabled people, you know, hopefully getting some method so that we could continue to provide the service and also have an increase, a normal increase and a substantial increase to the providers that were providing the service. As a county board member, the counties also participate in this to a small amount. But we have always seen that it seemed like when things got cut, we put the cut down onto the provider. They had to cut services or starve that program out. And I've seen a lot of programs be starved out. And it was at the expense of the individuals with disabilities that had to suffer. I think we can do, you know, something for these individuals. You know, I am in favor of Senator Byars' amendment. Maybe it don't go far enough, but we can only go so far. So with that, those are my comments. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Stuthman. Mr. Clerk, items for the record?

ASSISTANT CLERK: Mr. President, your Committee on Enrollment and Review reports LB 421, LB 422, LB 423, and LB 424 to Select File. Amendments to be printed: Senator Schrock to LB 90; Senator Beutler to LB 57. Interim study resolution, LR 99, offered by Senator Brown; that will be referred to the Executive Board. New A bill. (Read LB 683A by title for the first time, Legislative Journal pages 1383-1385.)

Mr. President, I do have a priority motion. Senator Pahls would move to recess until 1:30 p.m.

SENATOR CUDABACK: You've heard the motion to recess until 1:30. All in favor of the motion say aye. Opposed, nay. We are recessed.

RECESS