

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 5, 2005

LB 425

symbolism that it is, and the symbolism is against the backdrop of pro-life versus pro-choice. I also think that at this point in this body, this body has a choice between those two, and this body is now dominantly a pro-life Legislature. All right, fair enough. So if we're going to defy the Appropriations Committee and all of the existing known mechanisms of doing business on behalf of children to do symbolism, I would suggest that this Legislature, which is dominantly conservative and dominantly pro-life, merge those two things and find a cheaper way to do your symbolism than half a million dollars. If you need to be loyal and if you need to show a symbolic support I can't defy that, but you don't get to be conservatives and pro-lives when you spend half a million dollars for something for which we already do these program, have local support, and have people who are worried about children's well-being who are saying there are far more important ways to do this. If you want the symbolic victory, which I think is absolutely...I can understand why that's going to happen, I think you're in the majority, I think you're going to have your way, okay, could it just not cost so much? If we are going to have some money, can we put it to the ways in which we can demonstrate success, have existing mechanisms which are local, which have the support of child welfare systems, and can we buy our symbolism a little cheaper if we are conservatives? Because half a million bucks to send a message seems like a lot. Couldn't we do it for \$100,000 and spend \$400,000 on, let's say, some caseworkers, or some state troopers, or (laugh) some more other needs that we have? Couldn't we, if we were both conservative and pro-life, just be a little cheaper than this end run on the Appropriations Committee? That should be possible, shouldn't it? Because we lose our right to be called conservatives when we invent symbolic ways to spend half a million dollars for which we have no track record of success, compared to local programs that have the support of child welfare agencies and who have deep roots in Nebraska in doing the hard work of social services, case management, and child welfare.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Landis. Further discussion on the motion to reconsider the vote taken on AM1374? Senator Kruse.