

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 27, 2005 LB 117

SENATOR FLOOD: I'm usually on the other end of the courtroom than the prosecutor, as a defense attorney. But...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Oh, okay.

SENATOR FLOOD: ...I have great respect for what prosecutors do.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well, either way. Yeah, you know what...you know more about what's happening than most of us do. But what I wanted to ask you is this. At the federal level, I know you're acquainted with the fact that the federal government is considering making pseudoephedrine--and perhaps the other drug also...the other component also, I'm not sure about that--a Schedule V drug which, as I understand it, means that you need to have a prescription in order to obtain it. Is that correct, for openers?

SENATOR FLOOD: Schedule V means something different in different states. But in my opinion...well, in Nebraska, Schedule V would require a prescription from a provider.

SENATOR BEUTLER: So the federal government, you think, can require us to require a prescription on this? They have the power to do that?

SENATOR FLOOD: My suggestion would be that if we look at it legally, the federal government, through the Food and Drug Administration, issues the DEA license to providers. And a provider would be not only regulated by the state of Nebraska here in our state, but also by the federal government's terms and conditions of use, and conditions of use on a DEA license. So I would think the federal government could address the issue. Whether they make it Schedule V or they have a different way to identify that is a separate issue. But I think they could require a prescription from a provider that has a valid DEA license before you get a Sudafed product, or a pseudoephedrine product.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. So with respect to that part of the bill that deals with how you...under what conditions you can buy