

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 26, 2005 LB 40

under that system or under the current system?

SENATOR REDFIELD: They would receive more under that system.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So the 10 percent would be a pittance compared to the return if that was the cost of implementing a system like that. Would you agree?

SENATOR REDFIELD: That's true, but...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And we would have in Douglas County much more money to deal with much larger and more numerous problems than would be found in other counties. Would you agree?

SENATOR REDFIELD: We would. And I certainly think there is great need in Douglas County for these funds. There are a number of people that need these services. At the same time, I believe that in the amendment that we've adopted, we very carefully design the distribution mechanism so that we will get them out to the regions where the people are, and the formula would include some of the factors that you're talking about. Now, that would be the Behavioral Health Services Fund. The Affordable Housing Trust Fund, however, doesn't have a mechanism in here, and that's where you might want to have a formula.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Why don't we do that?

SENATOR REDFIELD: Why would you do that?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Why don't we go ahead and do that for the Affordable Housing Trust Fund?

SENATOR REDFIELD: Actually, Senator, there was an amendment that was offered in the committee hearing and it was brought by the city of Omaha because they were looking at the cost...or, I should say, the funds that were being contributed by the people in the Omaha metropolitan area, and they were looking at the distributions back and feeling that they were being neglected. So they offered an amendment and I did support that amendment. The committee felt that the amendment had gone too far in that not only were they allocating the dollars but they were also