

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 4, 2005 LB 588

speak, and...in terms of access to information. So we'll probably have a recommendation from one or two...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR SMITH: ...members of the Legislature that has more background information, just because of the position they hold in the Legislature, and their ability to obtain highly confidential information. Again, I emphasize, highly confidential information. And how are we supposed to come up with a good, sound, rational reason for public policy if we won't have the same information that one or two members of the Legislature have? And I know I'm speaking to LB 588 in general, and not necessarily the amendments at hand. But I think that is something that the Legislature should want to look at, in terms of the adoption and the formation of good public policy. So I look forward to the debate here and the exchange, and I hope that we can come up with something that does not infringe on a lot of taxpayers' right to...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time, Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: ...confidentiality in Nebraska, and the policies associated with that. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Smith. Further discussion on the Beutler amendment? Senator Smith. He waives his opportunity. Further discussion on Beutler amendment AM0979 to LB 588? Senator Beutler, there are no lights on. You're recognized to close on AM0979.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Cudaback, I would just remind the members that this is a limitation on the powers that were in LB 588. So hopefully it's agreeable to Senator Smith and everyone. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. The question before the body is adoption of AM0979 to LB 588. All in favor of the amendment vote aye; those opposed, nay. The question before the body is the Beutler amendment, AM0979. Have you all voted on the question who care to? Record please, Mr. Clerk.