

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 29, 2005 LB 150

money from you and every other customer who comes in here, I can get a pool of money which will make it possible for me to buy larger quantities of these products and ultimately the price will come down for you, so it's in your best interest that I keep your money, because I know what's best for the use of your money than you know. Brothers and sisters, friends, enemies, neutrals, we're not talking about a tax here levied by the government. If the government levies a tax, the money goes into the General Fund. This money goes for the propaganda machine of a specific group of producers who are interested in getting as much profit as they can. There are people who contribute money through this checkoff who do not agree with the approach of those who are taking their money against their money against their will and spending it against their will in a way that the ones who've contributed it feel is against their interests. The U.S. Supreme Court said, when it came to bar association dues, dues can be charged, but they have to prorate those dues to eliminate the amount that might go for lobbying, political activities, and expressions of speech with which the members giving dues don't agree. You cannot compel somebody to give their money to support a form of speech which they are opposed to, and that's what you're asking for here. You conservatives, why is it that somebody like me has to stand on this floor and remind you of your conservative roots? As a conservative, you are not in favor of depriving people of their property, depriving them of their money, then saying, but we're going to only deprive you of it for 30 days, then you can get it back; I know what's best for you; let me take your money against your will, not as a tax,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...but for a private propaganda machine. Goebbels would have been happy to have had something like this to get his money. If you don't know who Goebbels was, check it out. This is totally inappropriate. My amendment gives people the opportunity to decide if they're going to voluntarily give their money. How are you going to take their money against their will and say it's voluntary? That is crazy. But I'm going to fight this tooth and nail. Up with democracy; down with tyranny. Whether it be national socialism, whether it be