

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 6, 2004

LB 962, 1065

SENATOR JANSSEN: Yeah, 30-40 years ago, we didn't worry about that. If you had a leaky underground storage tank or anything else, no one was very concerned about what the ramifications were going to be, because we didn't know what was going to happen. It's just been the last 10 or 12 years. I remember 8-10 years ago, we were in here fighting the LUST Fund and the leaky underground storage tank cleanups. And we were scrambling for dollars at that time. And it looks like what we have done has been working, probably to the extent of they can only use so many dollars a year to get done what needs to be done. I would...I think this is probably a way out. Whether it's the right way I'm not sure. Listening to Senator Landis talk, maybe we should go back to the original plan that came out of the Revenue Committee. But I'm open for anything to get us off center on this bill and get moved and get on to some other business. Thank you. I'll give the remainder of my time back to the Chair.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Janssen. Senator Raikes.

SENATOR RAIKES: Thank you, Mr. President, members. Senator Wehrbein, if I could ask you a couple of questions? I'm trying to understand for sure what it is we're going to do here. Now we're going to take LUST Fund interest money? Is that correct?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: (Microphone malfunction)...yes.

SENATOR RAIKES: And we're going to take \$1.5 million a year, except the first year we're going to take \$3 million?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Because the \$1.5 million is already dedicated to the water, LB 962, yes.

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay. So that there would be...the first year there would be \$1.5 million for this use, and then there would be \$1.5 million for the Water Policy Task Force. Is that right?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes. And that's...there's equivalent...

SENATOR RAIKES: And then...