

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 1, 2004

LB 1090

No, I respectfully suggest, no. Call it detrimental alliance...reliance, call it equitable estoppel, there are lots of hornbook theories that can be used in this particular instance. And the other thing that really distresses me as I read this and, of course, some people when they're distressed don't talk about it and then I'm distressed enough that I'm trying to make a public record about it, it says that...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time. Sorry, Senator Brashear. Senator Baker, followed by Senator Smith and others.

SENATOR BAKER: Thank you, Senator Brashear and members. I want to refocus the attention back on what we're doing here. You can call this the Brashear bond bill, whatever you're going to call it. It's a gas tax bill. And the bigger issue is we're diverting gas tax receipts from traditionally what we've used them for, building roads and maintenance. We're taking that money and going to put it into the Judgment Fund, low-level radioactive waste. That's what we're talking about here. We're going from traditional use, changing the direction we're going with these funds, putting into a Judgment Fund. And I'm not a slick lawyer, I'm not a small town country lawyer. I'm a western Nebraska ag producer. For the life of me, I don't understand how this is going to be constitutional. I'll listen. I think there's some question here. I'm reading: Nebraska's constitution provides for bonding when the Legislature determines by three-fifths vote of the members that if--and this is the quote from the constitution--the need for construction of highways in this state requires such action, it may authorize the issuance for bonds for such construction. What am I missing here? I'm from western Nebraska. I might be a little slow but it says we can use this bonds for construction of highways in this state. What am I missing here? I haven't heard that answer yet. I question whether we can even be doing this. There's got to be some slick lawyer in here someplace to get around that constitution. To me, that looks pretty straight forward. I'm trying to focus attention, as I said, back on what the issue is. This is a policy change, people. We have taken a half cent of motor vehicle sales tax a couple of years ago when we needed the money so badly in the General Fund, and I understand what Senator Landis is saying. This will be