

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 9, 2004            LB 888

stricken the word "would" w-o-u-l-d, and insert the word "does." This is discussing an ordinance, a resolution, a code or a standard which may be adopted and it must be equivalent to the Nebraska Energy Code if it, and the language says, "if it would not result." I would change that to "if it does not result," so that's what the word change is to accomplish. Then I would go to line 15 and strike the word "that" and insert the word "such" because the requirement that is being discussed here is a reference back to something which is above. Then I would go to page 12 and in line 3 I would strike and show as stricken the word "of" and insert the words "to provide." This is the way the language on page 12 reads now, starting in line 2: "The State Energy Office shall establish a continuing program of technical assistance to local code officials." Instead of saying "continuing program of technical assistance" I think we should say "continuing program to provide technical assistance" to these officials, because that is in fact what this program would do. It is a program to provide it. Then if you go down into line 7, it talks about training of these officials and so forth. So I'm going to read the amendment again. On page 11 in line 1, I would strike and show as stricken the word "would" and insert the word "does." In line 15, I would strike the word "that" and insert "such." And turning to page 12 in line 3, strike and show as stricken the word "of" and insert the words "to provide." And that's all that I have, Mr. President, but I will answer any questions.

SENATOR CUDABACK:        Thank you, Senator Chambers. You've heard the opening on FA1544 offered by Senator Chambers. Open for discussion on that motion. Senator Friend.

SENATOR FRIEND:         Thank you, Mr. President. I think that the changes in FA1544 are all appropriate. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK:        Thank you, Senator Friend. Further discussion on the Chambers amendment? There are no lights on, Senator Chambers. He waives his opportunity to close. The question before the body is adoption of FA1544 offered by Senator Chambers to LB 888. All in favor of the motion vote aye, opposed nay. The question before the body is adoption of the Chambers amendment FA1544 to LB 888. Have you all voted who