

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 4, 2004

LB 962

"publication." We find words to that effect preceding "publication." So we do not need that article in line 15. We would simply say "the department...oh, "For any owner whose name and address are not known to the department and cannot reasonably be obtained by the department, such notice shall be served by publication in a legal newspaper." We don't need the article "the." That's why it would be stricken. In line 6, anything we say about a county is referring to a county within this state, so it's unnecessary to modify "county" by the word "Nebraska." So that is why "Nebraska" would be stricken. On lines 18 and 19 are the words "in any Nebraska county which contains." I would strike all of those words and put "each county containing" and before anybody panics and thinks that this at last is one of those sinister amendments where I've hidden something because I'm striking a lot of words, I would refer those people to page 33 of the committee amendment in line 21 where we have the words "in each county containing land." I am making the language on page 9 consistent with and reflective of the same language on page 33 of this committee amendment. That explains what's being done on line 9 until we get toward the bottom of the page where I would strike from the existing law the word or the article "a" and replace it with "each." We're discussing the number of times a notice must appear in a newspaper, and the language says "Each such publication shall be once each week." That's in the new law...the new language. The existing language says "the notice shall be published once a week." So we may as well clean it up and make it consistent by having it say "once each week" in both places. That's the purpose of that apparently inconsequential amendment. Then on page 10, I would invite your attention to line 6. The current language says "If no one appears at the hearing," I would strike the word "one" and insert "person." If no person appears at the hearing and that harmonizes this statement with such language found throughout this committee amendment and other parts of the statute. We will not say "If no one," we will say "If no person." In line 8 on the same page, we would strike "someone interested" and insert "an interested person." And I believe if you need to see that construction of language, you can find it if you turn to page 34 in line 10 where it says "The notice shall state that any interested person." So what I'm doing back here on page 10, in