

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 12, 2004 LB 227

probably still prohibited. But if you're using an implement of husbandry of some type and you all may probably better know than I do what all the variety of things are that would be included under that definition, then you could go ahead and do it.

SENATOR STUHR: Within a field.

SENATOR BEUTLER: And I'm thinking of a farm wagon, for example,...

SENATOR STUHR: Uh-huh.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...would probably be one of those,...

SENATOR STUHR: Uh-huh.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...but I will look for you.

SENATOR STUHR: Okay, thank you. I think that's important. And also, if you look on page 2, it says: "This subsection shall apply to every motor vehicle which is equipped with an occupant protection system." And I know that many of these detassellers are transported by bus today and so that this bill...and those buses are not required to have restraints. So they could continue to use that mode of transportation. I think that we need...in public policy, what we are sending is an intent, and the intent here is to protect children. And I think that's important whether it...whether we live in an urban area or whether we live in a rural area. I don't...I do not see anything in the bill that will prevent what we are doing now in the way of transportation in detasseling. As I mentioned the other day, I think our modes of transportation are considerably different with the extended cab pickups, with the vans, with buses. Those are the kinds of things that are used in this area. And I think Senator Beutler had a point that I think parents will be pleased to know that their children are being protected. So, again, I do support the amendment and I do support the bill because I believe this sends a strong message that we do care and we are concerned about our children. And I believe that this does this. Thank you. And I give the rest of my time back to the Chair.