

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 15, 2004 LB 560

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Does revenue-neutral, when we use that term, mean that it will pay the cost of providing the service?

SENATOR BAKER: That's also correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So if \$36 will pay the cost of it, \$60 obviously was generating money beyond what it cost the department to perform the service. Wouldn't that be true?

SENATOR BAKER: That's correct. Although Nebraska will remain one of the very lowest in the country as far as their charges.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's not what I'm asking. I want to see if we've got a tax measure here. Why was nothing done by anybody to determine whether that increase in that request fee from \$1 to \$3 was revenue-neutral or if it was going to bring in extra revenue? Was it felt that because the amount, the actual dollar amount, was so small, that it didn't make anybody any difference and it wouldn't make anybody any difference?

SENATOR BAKER: No, I think it would...it might not make much difference for an individual, but for the department, they do handle a lot of these record searches on an individual basis. And I've got to believe, without having any statement from DMV, that it's going to cost them more than \$1 to pull these records up, print them, and mail them.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, why would you believe that, when they misled you by putting \$60 in the bill and then...

SPEAKER BROMM: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...reduced it to \$36 when the heavyweights came in and told them, that's too much, we're not going to accept that?

SENATOR BAKER: I think...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So the department reduced it.