

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 15, 2004 LB 560

SENATOR BAKER: \$24. That was...that...in statute now is \$24. They proposed raising it to \$60. We compromised and only agreed to raise it from \$24 to \$36.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Who is likely, Senator Baker, to take advantage of this service and have to pay this fee, whatever the amount turns out to be?

SENATOR BAKER: Well, if you'll look on the committee statements, we did have two people testify, and they were opposed to the amount of the increase. But they're companies that search vehicle and drivers records all the time for insurance companies and so on. And it's...I didn't realize it was such a business. But they don't operate just in the state of Nebraska. They have offices here, I believe, or at least representatives. But it would be people researching records to provide this record file for whomever was interested in it.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Was this original boost to \$60 designed as a revenue generating measure?

SENATOR BAKER: Well, it would have generated some additional revenue. If you look on the original fiscal note, there would have been about \$156,000-\$160,000 generated. But that was the original fiscal note, and I do not have a new one, due to the amendments. But we will, obviously, have one if we adopt these amendments. And it would become more revenue-neutral, I think, very close anyway.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So in the original form of the green copy, the Department of Motor Vehicles was trying to raise revenue above what was required to perform the service. Is that true?

SENATOR BAKER: Well, we don't know. We don't have a new revised fiscal note. But...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, let me base it on something you said. With the changing of the \$60 to \$36, you said that would make the bill more revenue-neutral. Did you say that?

SENATOR BAKER: That's correct.