

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 27, 2003

LB 283, 759

years and set these time lines? The goal that Senator Combs talked about, 2007, fine, but the means were possible to do it in 2005. We shouldn't do it because, in fact, it was slated to be done in 2007 because the Legislature in 2003 decided that was the right year? Senator Baker said we should allow heat to be put on the people in 2007; that we should reach out to that Legislature and make its job the hot seat. I got to tell you, it's in the nature of what we do. We don't use the words "permanent" or "temporary" in our taxes very often because, in fact, neither is true. Now, I think we had a credibility problem when we told people they were temporary taxes and they proved not to be. But you know what? The taxes are not permanent taxes either. They're permanent for now. They're permanent for now. It means it's the law now and we can change it, and we change it when circumstances change. Now I bet Senator Brown would say, you know, if we had the money in 2005 we probably ought to lower taxes, and I'd say, yep, I bet we could agree on that, which is why the rule ought to be permanent for now; doing what we're going to do now until circumstances change and policy changes. What we shouldn't do is to reach out the dead hand of a Legislature four years from the past to make the job of the 2007 Legislature harder because, what, they deserve it? I don't think so. Our job wasn't hard enough having the temporary taxes that were created for us to deal with? It was tough and it was harder. And it didn't have to be, in fact, if we just said, what should the tax rates be for Nebraska for the foreseeable future, and when we have the means let's drop them. Senator Combs argued about a goal. The problem with the goal is that the goal shouldn't just be the terms of reducing these amounts of money. The goal should be, number one, good tax policy, and solvency second of all. Those are the goals, not the numbers in LB 759 and not the numbers in the Brown amendment. Our goal should be a good tax system that meets the set of public policy goals that we select for ourselves and we should rein in our belt when we need to. Any day of the week we should cut programs that aren't doing an effective job. We should reach out and solve social problems when we can and ultimately we balance the checkbook. That's the job. And it's the job that's the same year after year, for Legislature after Legislature, and there's no reason to reach out to the 2007 Legislature and whack them upside the head by