

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 21, 2003

LB 608

to establish and maintain a permanent system of standardized records with respect to any request for tax returns and tax return information, the reason for the request, and the date, and some additional information. That, too, would apply to the performance audit function of the Legislature. It goes on then, but I hope that I've given you a strong sense that we have not left out any of the procedural safeguards with respect to confidentiality that currently apply to the Auditor's Office with respect to financial audits. We are setting up, basically within the same statute, a parallel set of words that applies all of these safeguards with respect to confidentiality to the program evaluation function. And really that's the sum and substance of it, but let me remind you again that under the bill that you passed this year, the program evaluation or performance audit group of the Legislature, the name is changing with this bill, so I'm sliding back and forth between the old name and the new name. But the Performance Audit Committee, it can't...the chair of that committee or any one member cannot himself or herself initiate an investigation. The investigation follows very strict and prolonged procedures including a preliminary kind of scoping process and once...and the whole thing is initiated only by a majority vote of the Legislature. And please recall that on this particular committee, there is the Speaker of the Legislature, there is the Chair of the Executive Board, there is the Chair of the Appropriations Committee, all there by virtue of their high position in the Legislature. There are seven members all together. So, obviously, anything that went forward with respect to...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...an investigation by our Performance Audit Committee would have to have the support of the leadership of the Legislature. So this is not something that can be randomly or radically or employed on the spur of the moment. This is a thoughtful process by the people you have elected to leadership in the Legislature. You know on any other bill with any other department, I would suggest that this should be a "no-brainer" but on this particular matter for some reason I hesitate. But I don't think we should be hesitating. I can't imagine why the Legislature would deny itself the ability to do a performance