

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 1, 2003

LB 407, 440

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BOURNE: ...step in and, in a sense, reward Lincoln just because they obligated these funds under this income stream. So I'm going to continue to listen to the debate and, quite honestly, I'm not certain what...whether this is the best course of action or not. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Bourne. Senator Hartnett, followed by Senator Redfield.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Mr. President, members, Senator Beutler, if I can ask you some questions.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Beutler, would you respond?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Sure.

SENATOR HARTNETT: What you're saying is that rather than be cut \$6 million, is that it, \$3 million, is that it, 3 each year either out of the...that there will be...actually will not be cut \$3 million this year. It will be \$528,000. Is that for two years, the five, on your...I'm looking at your sheet of paper here, Senator Beutler, this.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yeah.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Five hundred...there would be extra for cities, there would be an extra \$520,000? If I heard...is Lincoln out of this \$3 million? If we go with the proposed league change, \$3 million is restored to municipalities, except for Lincoln, and the MIRF is still kept going to Lincoln, \$520,000. Then is that subtracted from the \$3 million?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Precisely. The...under this change, when we get to LB 407, we would go back and restore the \$3 million in aid to municipalities, that other fund that we cut. But when we restore it, Lincoln won't have theirs restored. They won't have theirs restored because they're continuing to take out of the MIRF fund instead.