

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 28, 2003 LB 451

is performing that audit and is there an on-site audit? And I'll just leave that question hanging. You don't need to respond to me right now.

SENATOR STUHR: All right.

SENATOR LANDIS: There are no further lights on. Senator Stuhr, you are recognized to close.

SENATOR STUHR: Just in response to Senator Quandahl's question is that, yes, there are on-site audits being conducted now, but the State Auditor has made some reference constantly to NPERS, referring that they should also do another on-site audit. They feel this would be prohibitive since we have, for instance, schools, over 516 school districts, and so this just clarifies in statute that they do not...that we do not intend NPERS to be required to conduct these audits. Thank you.

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you, Senator Stuhr. The question is the return of LB 451 for a specific amendment. Those in favor cast a vote of aye; those opposed cast a vote of no. Mr. Clerk, you may record.

CLERK: 33 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the motion to return the bill.

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you. The motion is successful. The question now is the adoption of the amendment. Senator Stuhr, you are recognized on the opening for your amendment.

SENATOR STUHR: Thank you, Mr. President and members of the body. I would just like to point out that what this amendment does is just to make it crystal-clear by including in statute that the Legislature does not intend NPERS to be required to conduct on-site audits of employee records. I believe it's just as simple as that. We just want to make it a clarifying amendment. Thank you.

SENATOR LANDIS: Senator Stuhr, thank you. There is a light from Senator Quandahl.