

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 6, 2003

LB 394

age," it says "from five through ten years of age." It simply spells out a reporting requirement for Class VI...in that case, Class I's that are members of a Class VI system. Also, a similar change on page...line...or on line 25 of that same page. Instead of reporting children who are "eleven through twenty years of age," it should be "eleven through eighteen years of age." Those are the only two changes. They're not substantive. They're technical and harmonizing. So thank you, and I would ask for your support.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Raikes. You've heard the opening on AM0583, offered by Senator Raikes. Any discussion on that amendment? Senator Raikes, there are no...Senator Raikes waives the opportunity to close. The question before the body is adoption of AM0583, offered by Senator Raikes to LB 394. All in favor of the motion vote aye, opposed nay. The question before the body is the Raikes amendment to LB 394. Have you all voted who care to? Record please, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 29 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the adoption of Senator Raikes' amendment.

SENATOR CUDABACK: The motion was successful.

CLERK: Senator Beutler would move to amend, AM0704. (Legislative Journal page 750.)

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Beutler to open on AM0704 to LB 394.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Cudaback, members of the Legislature, this is a change that does not affect the main purpose of Senator McDonald's bill in any way, which, as you may recall, had to do with the grade levels that might be involved in a Class VI-Class I relationship. It goes merely to a related provision that it was not necessary to change. And I think Senator McDonald has no objection to this. But I...on page 2, I wanted to change back to the original language the requirement that a Class VI school district, that was created out of the breakup of a Class II or III school district, be initiated by a petition signed by 65 percent. That's the old and current law, and I'm just changing it back to the old and current law, which