

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 19, 2003 LB 524

off it for the two-year time cycle that we wouldn't have had we used the bill as it was originally written. The Secretary of State's Office that came in I think understood us. Their preference I think would have been to have gotten the \$50,000 of savings first. However, I think they understood the committee's desire to see to it that we got the occupation tax in hand first, and then got the Secretary of State's Office their savings. It doesn't jeopardize those two ideas that Senator Mines brought to you. There is a savings of time and effort by both the business and government and then, secondly, there is the cost savings to the state with respect to the measure in this form. With the committee amendment, I think there is a third advantage and that is that we get the cash management advantage of holding \$6 million for a year and getting the virtue of whatever interest we can take off of that. I will say this. At the point at which corporations discover that their fees have gone up 100 percent, they are going to have to read the material carefully to see that it's going to be good for two years. In the past, the last time we did this, which we, in fact, we increased the occupation tax which was done by Senator Warner in '93 and '94, this body heard from a number of corporations when the occupation tax went up. And one of the reasons is there are a lot of corporations that exist on the books but do no business. And when the cost of existence but not really being in place goes up, we have a tendency to hear about that. My guess is you are going to get some notes on this one from your colleagues, from your home folks. On the other hand, this is good policy and it has a good explanation behind it, and I support Senator Mines in the bill. I'd ask for the adoption of the Revenue Committee, and I just think that when we get done with this cycle, it won't be quite as quiet as it has been up until now. But there will be a darn good reason for what we're going to do and we will have a good answer when we're asked, why the heck did you do that? And the answer will be, we're going to save you some time and effort through this mechanism. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you. You've heard the opening on the Revenue Committee amendments by Chairman Landis of the committee. Open for discussion on those amendments. Senator Landis, there are...he waives off the opportunity to close on