

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 14, 2003 LB 99

just cleanse that area, and then everybody can pretend that it never was there, that it never happened. That monstrosity is never going to make money. And when boasts are made about people around the country driving through and stopping to look at it, you should not get the idea that everybody who looks at something and their mouth falls agape that that expression is because of admiration. Sometimes it's one of incredulity--what in the world is this; who in the world did this; w..at did they have in mind if they had a mind when they did it? It has been a loser from the beginning and it always will be a loser. And if a bill like this is going to draw money via the taxing system, no matter who's being gouged, to send good money after bad, that is enough reason for me to oppose a bill like this. People who would go for something like that lack the responsibility necessary to properly handle tax money. I don't know who represents Kearney now. Is Dr. Johnson...is there a doctor in the house? Dr. Johnson, I would like to ask you a question or two, if you would.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Would you respond, Senator Johnson?

SENATOR JOHNSON: Will be glad to try.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And the first question is not, eh, what's up, doc? That's not the question. Is any tax money currently going into that Arch out there by Kearney?

SENATOR JOHNSON: Senator, I can't...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR JOHNSON: ...give you a definitive answer, but I do not believe so. You could argue that roads were, access roads and so on like that, some of it, but...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, I don't mean like that. I mean that goes directly into the structure.

SENATOR JOHNSON: Not that I'm aware of. Now, one other thing that I was just talking to Senator Beutler about, and perhaps you didn't hear me when I was speaking before, is that there is