

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 16, 2002 LB 19

SENATOR SMITH: Absolutely.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If I know the answer I'll give it. If I don't know the answer I'll tell you. You don't even have to give a justification for asking the question.

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you. Section 37, page 52, it has sexual orientation and marital status. Can you tell me, and last year when we had a similar debate on a similar issue I raised some issues with marital status, sexual orientation and how they might be related, but could you tell me why the marital status is in there? Is it an explanation other than Boys Town wanted it in there?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, there were people who would try to get a place to stay and if they were unmarried they could not rent a place. They could not rent if they were single. Singles were not wanted. In other situations, singles are the only thing that's wanted. So any status of that kind should not be a basis for discriminating when it comes to housing or employment. Status alone should not be a basis for depriving somebody of those things.

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's all you have...

SENATOR SMITH: That's all I have, yes,...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...at this time? That was easy (inaudible).

SENATOR SMITH: ...for now.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Foley.

SENATOR FOLEY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members. Senator Chambers, I wonder if you would yield again. And I was distracted a little bit talking to another senator when you were conversing with Senator...