

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 11, 2002 LB 1054

looks to me like this might add some requirements and make it even more difficult than it currently is. I'd like to ask Senator Schimek, if she'd care to respond to me about the addition of these particular elements in this bill.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Schimek, would you respond to a question?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Certainly. Was that your question, Senator Dierks, or did you have a more specific question?

SENATOR DIERKS: Well, I just wondered why we got to the point, Senator Schimek, of adding...it looks like there's more restrictions added to the language. Am I right? Is that more restrictive than...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: I wouldn't...I wouldn't call it restrictions, Senator. It is...what we've added is our provisions to educate the public about what the recall is all about, and to give the person who is recalled an opportunity to have a response on that petition, and to make all of this known. And this really...this whole bill came from a discussion with the elected officials throughout the state at the League of Municipalities state convention. And when we heard some of those...some of the problems that they were having...nobody was trying to do away with recall. All they were trying to do was make it fair to the person who was being recalled. And I could go on and on about it, Senator, if you would like me to. It's your...

SENATOR DIERKS: Well, I guess the...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: ...time.

SENATOR DIERKS: ...thing that I'm concerned about is the process itself and the petition circulators and the requirements that are placed on them. As I understand it, there's a statement that has to be included on the petition as you're taking it around for signatures and that that circulator has to read that statement as to what the petition is about, and then there's also an answer on that petition from the person being recalled, and he also has to read that particular statement to