

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 4, 2002 LB 426

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Debate on the Connealy amendment? Senator Connealy, you're recognized to close.

SENATOR CONNEALY: Once again, this takes the State Patrol out of the bill. It's our intention not to conflict with contracts and due process that is in place for the State Patrol or even metropolitan district police forces. Our intent with the bill originally was to give some due process to law enforcement officers that didn't have that and so this would narrow the focus of the bill.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: You've heard the closing. The question before the body is the adoption of the Connealy amendment. All those in favor vote aye; all those opposed vote nay. Record.

ASSISTANT CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: The amendment is adopted.

ASSISTANT CLERK: I have nothing further on the bill.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: We're debating advancement. Senator Connealy, you're recognized to close on advancement.

SENATOR CONNEALY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members. Once again, this bill is a work in progress. Our intent is to offer some due process for administrative hearings for police officers that don't have that now. There has been discussion from different senators on the floor, on the mike and off, that we have written too broad a bill. Senator Synowiecki and I are committed to narrow the focus of this and the wording and bring an amendment that would do that on Select File. That still has not maybe solved everyone's problems. If not, then we'll have another discussion on Select File, but we hope to be able to narrow the focus so that you'll be willing to pass it again on Select. I thank you for your support.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: You've heard the closing. The question before the body is the advancement of LB 426. All those in favor vote aye; all those opposed vote nay. We're voting on