

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 2, 2002

LB 460

the land. In the past, and, Senator Raikes, if you're listening,...correct me if I'm wrong...in the past you could affiliate anywhere you wanted to. Actually I think my school district in Phelps County could have affiliated with Scottsbluff High School. I don't think there's anything prohibiting that. But we passed the policy I believe either four or five years ago that said if a K-12 system is 50 percent affiliated with...I'm sorry, if a Class I system is 50 percent affiliated with one K-12 system, that K-12 system had to approve any reorganization unless they dissolved their school district along the affiliated lines. Now back in about 1989 or '90, all the people who were in Class I districts had to affiliate with a K-12 system. And so it's the Class I I live in is affiliated five different ways: Holdrege, Loomis, Overton, Elm Creek, and there's even one section in the Kearney school district. But Holdrege has more than 50 percent of that property. This just changes it to say that any district that has at least 8 percent has to approve any redistricting plans unless...and Senator Raikes answered this for me...unless the Class I districts dissolves along the affiliated lines. And then nobody has to approve it and everybody can go back to the history they had back when they affiliated. That's the land their Class I would go to. Am I correct, Senator Raikes, they can still dissolve along affiliated lines?

SENATOR RAIKES: That's correct.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Raikes.

SENATOR RAIKES: Yes, that's correct, Senator. The affiliated lines are, so to speak, the default plan. If there is an agreement, then that's the way the dissolution is handled.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, this is good public policy. It protects some K-12 systems that have a minority interest in a Class I system. To take that valuation away from them would be very detrimental to some K-12 systems out there. So I do believe if a Class I affiliates, any district that has at least 8 percent of that Class I system should have a say in that...in what happens to that property, unless they want to dissolve along affiliated