

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 21, 2002 LB 898

deserve more credit that we've given them. But that's part of the process. As we sit here at 1:42 p.m. on the forty-third day of this session, we need to come up with \$136 million now, with the additional bills that we have before us. What are those bills that are before us? Well, you can look on today's agenda. There's a reduction in state aid and then there are three, four revenue increasers following. We've been through the budget bill in the first round of cuts, whether we like those cuts or dislike them, think there should be more, or think they should be less, developing the budget is part of this process, that we next move...we move to the next stage, and that next stage is, where is the next area that needs to contribute to the problem. That is the untouched one-quarter of our state budget that we didn't touch during the Special Session, and that's state aid to schools. Now, your immediate reaction that you've probably heard from particularly the schools, and maybe some of the other interest groups, it's an automatic tax increase. We can't do that; we can't raise property taxes. Well, I ran saying I'd never raise property taxes. I don't know of a soul that ran saying they would raise property tax; no one said that. But we're not on the campaign trail. We're in the middle of a budget crisis that we're going to have to begin to address, and the reduction in state aid here is about \$22 million. Now, as a percentage, this is the first contribution of TEEOSA towards that problem. Obviously, if you look at how much of a cut that is, you're somewhere around 1.2 percent of a cut in TEEOSA, is what this is. Now, do we like doing that cutting? No. Nobody in here would want to do that, but I'm telling you, you can't ignore one-quarter of the budget and hold them harmless without making some reduction, and I think this is modest. Most of the schools thinks this is modest as well. Does it mean that there's going to be an automatic increase in property taxes? It does not. Particularly, if they have a levy limit. What might they have to do? They may just have to do what this body just got done doing for the last two days, and that's making some cuts and some reductions. But instead of making 3 percent cuts or higher, if you take into Special Session consideration, this is going to be approximately 1.2 percent reduction. That is not too much to ask. That doesn't mean that there will be an automatic increase in property tax. And if you believe that,