

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 19, 2002 LB 1073A, 1073

emergency needs of the state in comparison to tax issues, budget issues, and safety issues that involve people in other cars acting poorly instead of an innocent parent driving down the road, who gets pulled over and all of a sudden they find themselves with a \$25 fine when, if they only knew...

SENATOR COORDSEN: One minute.

SENATOR SMITH: ...if they only knew about the law, then they would have purchased that booster seat. And I hope that we can ultimately defeat LB 1073. Thank you.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you, Senator Smith. Senator Beutler, please.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Coordsen, members of the Legislature, I wanted to make a comment at this point in time on the A bill, simply because it brings up a question that's been the subject of a World-Herald editorial recently, entitled "Political Chicanery". What Senator Chambers is asking you to do right now, if I'm understanding him correctly, is to advance the A bill to Select File, our middle stage of debate, even though it's not needed for this particular purpose, because there may be a very good bill out there that some committee chairman or some individual senator puts forward that needs to be passed this year but has not made it, for one reason or another, into the priority bill system. And we all know, at least those who have been here for a while, that especially during the short session, that this process is used time and again because there is a backup necessity for this kind of thing, from time to time. And that it's not political chicanery to be doing this, but rather prudent foresight with respect to how we provide shells for all of those things that need to be done. And I think you would all agree that if there is a pearl of the bill...of a bill out there, it is better to have a shell available when there may not be a pearl than it is to have a pearl out there and no shell available to take care of it when the time comes. And this...the reason that I'm speaking at this particular point in time is because that editorial came up, criticizing that kind of process as political chicanery. It was the...the suggestion by Senator Schrock, that the storm water sewer bill be put into