

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 11, 2002 LB 384

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Quandahl.

SENATOR QUANDAHL: Thank you, Speaker Kristensen. Members of the body, and I...I really do appreciate the dialogue that's going on this morning, particularly that of Senator Connealy, who, if you check your screen, was initially...he had some concerns about this. Senator Janssen, if you check your screens, as the bill was originally introduced, had some concerns about this particular language that was in the bill. But I can tell you that the amendment that's before us right now was not the bill as it was introduced. And if I heard Senator Connealy and Senator Janssen correctly, they said that they would, at least, support this amendment because, in their minds, it's a heck of a lot better than the bill was when it was originally introduced. And one thing that I wanted to make...wanted to make clear is that this amendment, AM3058, is a...is a compromise, is an agreement in principle. And that doesn't mean that we won't have opportunities and that we won't take those opportunities to try to flesh out some of the details between now and Select File. And I would make that...I just want to make sure that that offer is abundantly clear on the record, that if anybody does have concerns between now and Select we will take those up. The one thing that...that I want to bring everybody around to is that this amendment, the original bill, was meant to address the condemnation process if it was to be utilized solely for revenue purposes, if the municipality was going to condemn that natural gas utility solely for revenue purposes, and I believe that the...not only the original bill but this amendment also carries forth with that...with that basic concept. The amendment itself, as Senator Bromm pointed out, is the substantive challenge, up-front, of the original bill, of my amendment to the committee amendment is now gone; there is...that it will not be the basis of any litigation. And that would include one thing that Senator Bromm had questions was, is that, well, what is the city supposed to do? Well, on page 2, which is Section 6, it begins to lay out the reasons that a city must pass in their resolution if they want to start the process of a condemnation of a natural gas system. Section 6(1)(a) is regarding natural gas safety; have created or may create a material threat. And Senator Bromm