

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 7, 2002      LB 1003

considered superfluous and useless. I'd like to ask Senator Schrock a question.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Schrock.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Schrock, have you seen parks which are maintained better than others.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Senator Chambers, I'm not a real frequent visitor to the parks. I think part of it is the nature of my job. I'm a farmer. My free time is in the wintertime.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Have you seen people who maintain their lawns and the environs of their house better than others?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Yes, I have.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Have you seen people who have ornamental trees which are shaped and trimmed and other people who have trees that just grow helter-skelter?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Yes, I have.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Does the tree that grows helter-skelter give shade that is just as cooling and refreshing as the ornamental tree that is carefully groomed and trimmed?

SENATOR SCHROCK: I would say yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If a stone is cut into the form of a statue and another stone remains in its natural state, is the stone which is in its natural state any more or less a stone than the one that was sculpted into a statue?

SENATOR SCHROCK: It would not be.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So beauty is something which can enhance an object in our minds because of the way we react and respond to beauty, but that beauty may not be necessary to make the thing we're talking about function as it's supposed to function. So the beauty that we see in parks could be considered useless and