

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 5, 2002 LB 470
 LR 298, 299

enforcement division to carry out these duties.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: One minute.

SENATOR BROMM: There is...there is accountability, which is emphasized, not only by what we're saying right now, but by the language of the law and by the contract between these entities. The last contract I have a copy of here is dated in 2000. I feel that those safeguards plus...I want to also respond to the suggestion that we could wind up with 100 carrier enforcement officers instead of 88 or 87 or something like that. When the budget comes through Appropriations, there is a PSL for carrier enforcement just like there is for any other department or agency, that that, and Senator Wehrbein is nodding his head, and if they were to change that number significantly, they...Appropriations Committee would have some hammer or ability to enact some consequences, so...and this Legislature...

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Time.

SENATOR BROMM: ...would, so I think those safeguards help provide for some insurance. Thank you.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: While the Legislature is in session and capable of transacting business, I propose to sign and do hereby sign LR 298, and LR 299. Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Mr. Speaker, members, I just wanted to reiterate, I punched my light on to confirm what Senator Bromm had said. The committee, Appropriations Committee does have control over PSL, both with the State Patrol and the carrier enforcement. So if there was to be a change or a transfer or whatever, those things routinely come across our committee's oversight. And so if any adjustment is made in PSL in all agencies are brought to our attention and we are aware of those things that happen within agencies. So there is oversight there. There, it is going to be obviously subject to the concerns of Appropriations Committee and future Appropriations Committee, but the Legislature, ultimately, would have the awareness and knowledge in the oversight of this issue at the same time. So that's what I punched my light on for is to