

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 5, 2002

LB 470

have comments on this amendment. There is no need in expanding the duties of these people who are carrier enforcement officers. L...I meant Section 60-1306 talks about when these people are on duty. Based on what Senator...let me ask Senator Bromm a question and not put words in his mouth. Senator Bromm, if a person has become a trooper, full-fledged, blue uniform, and is assigned to the carrier enforcement division, is it your belief that the language in the committee amendment that I'm trying to strike would empower that person to exercise all the powers and duties of a police offic...of a peace officer even while he or she is on duty as a carrier enforcement officer?

SENATOR BROMM: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Wouldn't that be putting more responsibility on a carrier enforcement officer than is the case now?

SENATOR BROMM: It is expansion of those powers and responsibilities, yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And you feel that by expanding the duties, powers, and responsibilities of carrier enforcement officers, you intensify the amount of carrier enforcement work they are going to do? By giving them more duties, it will intensify their focus on carrier enforcement work, is that the philosophy?

SENATOR BROMM: That's not the philosophy.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That...

SENATOR COORDSEN PRESIDING

SENATOR COORDSEN: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...is not likely, by expanding duties and responsibilities, you are not likely to increase the focus on carrier enforcement work but you can actually dilute that work by doing this, isn't that possible?

SENATOR BROMM: It's possible.